

Machine Learning in Chemical Simulation: Solids, Liquids and Biochemistry

Chris Handley Department of Materials Science and Engineering c.m.handley@sheffield.ac.uk





The underlying physical laws necessary for the mathematical theory of a large part of physics and the whole of chemistry are thus completely known, <u>and the difficulty is only that the exact application of these laws leads to equations much too</u> <u>complicated to be soluble. It therefore becomes desirable that</u> <u>approximate practical methods of applying quantum</u> <u>mechanics should be developed</u>, which can lead to an explanation of the main features of complex atomic systems without too much computation.

Paul Dirac - Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, Vol. 123, No. 792 (6 April 1929)





An increase in computer power of at least two orders of magnitude should occur over the next decade. *Without further research into the accuracy of force-field potentials, future macromolecular modeling may well be limited more by validity of the energy functions,* particularly electrostatic terms, than by technical ability to perform the computations.

Force fields for protein simulations JW **Ponder**, DA **Case** - Advances in protein chemistry, 2003





- Electron density 3D regions
- Mapped by QCT
- Represented by spherical harmonics







$$E(\mathbf{r}^{N}) = \sum_{bonds} \frac{k_{i}}{2} (l_{i} - l_{i,0})^{2} + \sum_{angles} \frac{k_{i}}{2} (\theta_{i} - \theta_{i,0})^{2} + \sum_{t=1}^{N} \sum_{j=t+1}^{N} \left(4\varepsilon_{ij} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right] + \frac{q_{i}q_{j}}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}r_{ij}} \right)^{4} + \frac{q_{i}q_{j}}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}r_{ij}} \right)^{4}$$

$$E_{elec}(A, B) = \int d\mathbf{r}_{A} d\mathbf{r}_{B} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}_{A})\rho(\mathbf{r}_{B})}{\left| (\mathbf{R}_{AB} - (\mathbf{r}_{A} - \mathbf{r}_{B})) \right|}$$

$$E_{elec}(A, B) = \sum_{l_{A}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_{B}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{A}=-l_{A}}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{B}=-l_{B}}^{l_{B}} T_{l_{A}}m_{A}l_{B}m_{B}(\mathbf{R})Q_{l_{A}}m_{A}(\Omega_{A})Q_{l_{B}}m_{B}(\Omega_{B})$$





- Spherical harmonics depend on local chemical environment.
- Sample as many configurations for each atom.













- PhD Polarizable Multipolar Peptides and Polarizable lons in Water.
- This work formed the basis for the ongoing work on a novel force field.
- Some forays into using other machine learning methods. Kriging GPRs





Deeth Group, University of Warwick

- Ligand Field Molecular Mechanics

 d-electrons
 explicitly represented
 in force fields.

 Vaguely similar to

 Tight Binding.
- Implemented in the CCG MOE suite.







Deeth Group, University of Warwick

- Method introduces new functions – LFMM just for this one system type introduces 30+ new parameters.
- By hand with a priori expert knowledge fitting by hand can take 6 months.



$$E(\mathbf{d}_{z^2}) = F_{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{d}_{z^2})e_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{16}(1 + 3\cos 2\theta)^2 e_{\sigma}$$

$$< d_i |V_{\mathrm{LF}}| d_j > = \sum_l^N \sum_k^{\mathrm{symm}} F_{ik}^l F_{kj}^l e_k^l$$





Deeth Group, University of Warwick



- Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithms optimize using Genetic Algorithms, but guided by Pareto Front analysis.
- Replicate fitting, and improve on fitting, in an hour!
- First example of method implemented for force field design.





Behler Group, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany

 Neural Network approach to Tight Binding.

$$h_{p_x p_x}$$

= $s(r)[l^2 V_{pp\sigma}$
+ $(1 - l^2 V_{pp\pi})]$
 $l = \cos(\theta_x)$



$$\begin{split} & E_{s,s} = V_{ss\sigma} \\ & E_{s,x} = /V_{sp\sigma} \\ & E_{x,x} = /^2 V_{pp\sigma} + (1 - l^2) V_{pp\pi} \\ & E_{x,x} = lnV_{pp\sigma} - lnV_{pp\pi} \\ & E_{x,x} = lnV_{pp\sigma} - lnV_{pp\pi} \\ & E_{s,xy} = 3^{1/2} lnV_{sd\sigma} \\ & E_{s,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{1}{2} 3^{1/2} (l^2 - m^2) V_{sd\sigma} \\ & E_{s,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{1}{2} 3^{1/2} lnV_{pd\sigma} + m(1 - 2l^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,xy} = 3^{1/2} lnNV_{pd\sigma} + n(1 - 2l^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,xy} = 3^{1/2} lnV_{pd\sigma} + n(1 - 2l^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{1}{2} 3^{1/2} (l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\sigma} - m(1 + l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{1}{2} 3^{1/2} n(l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\sigma} - m(1 + l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{1}{2} 3^{1/2} n(l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\sigma} - n(l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{1}{2} 3^{1/2} n(l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\sigma} - 3^{1/2} ln^2 V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,3z^2 - t^2} = n[n^2 - \frac{1}{2} (l^2 + m^2)] V_{pd\sigma} - 3^{1/2} ln^2 V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,yx^2 - y^2} = n[n^2 - \frac{1}{2} (l^2 + m^2)] V_{pd\sigma} - 3^{1/2} ln^2 V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,yx^2 - y^2} = n[n^2 - \frac{1}{2} (l^2 - m^2) V_{pd\sigma} + 1^{1/2} n(l^2 + m^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{x,yx^2 - t^2} = n[n^2 - \frac{1}{2} (l^2 + m^2)] V_{pd\sigma} + 3^{1/2} n(l^2 + m^2) V_{pd\pi} \\ & E_{xy,x^2 - t^2} = n[n^2 - \frac{1}{2} (l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} + ln(1 - 4m^2) V_{dd\pi} + ln(m^2 - 1) V_{dd\delta} \\ & E_{xy,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} - mn[1 + 2(l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\sigma} \\ & E_{xy,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} - mn[1 + 2(l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\pi} \\ & + mn[1 + \frac{1}{2} (l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\sigma} - 3^{1/2} lnn^2 V_{dd\pi} \\ & - n/[1 - \frac{1}{2} (l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\sigma} - 3^{1/2} lnn^2 V_{dd\pi} \\ & E_{xy,x^2 - y^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} + ln(1 - 2(l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\pi} \\ & - n/[1 - \frac{1}{2} (l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\sigma} - 3^{1/2} lnn^2 V_{dd\pi} \\ & E_{xy,32^2 - r^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} + nn[1 + 2(l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\pi} \\ & E_{xy,32^2 - r^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} + nn[1 - 2(l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\pi} \\ & E_{xy,32^2 - r^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} + nn[1 - 2(l^2 - m^2)] V_{dd\pi} \\ & E_{xy,32^2 - r^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2) V_{dd\sigma} + n^2 - n^2 V_{dd\sigma} \\ & E_{xy,32^2 - r^2} = \frac{3}{2} ln(l^2 - m^2$$





Behler Group, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany

$$\begin{split} &\langle i\mu | \hat{V}_{ext} | j\nu \rangle \\ &= \left\langle i\mu | \hat{V}_{ext}^{(i)} | j\nu \right\rangle + \left\langle i\mu | \hat{V}_{ext}^{(j)} | j\nu \right\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{k \neq i,j} \left\langle i\mu | \hat{V}_{ext}^{(k)} | j\nu \right\rangle \end{split}$$

 $egin{array}{c} {\sf R}_{ab}, \ {\sf R}_{ac}, \ {\sf heta}_{bac} \end{array}$







Reaney, Sinclair, Freeman, Dean, University of Sheffield

- Perovskites DFT simulations dominate literature. Force Field methods could reveal more about the dynamics of the structures.
- A need to develop new potentials that capture the right chemistry.







Reaney, Sinclair, Freeman, Dean, University of Sheffield

- Multi-layer capacitors we know the materials but how do we combine them?
- A machine learning problem

 permutation of
 combinations of layers,
 thickness of layers etc.
- Develop computational tools for synthetic chemists.







Reaney, Sinclair, Freeman, Dean, University of Sheffield

- Identify places where chemical simulation can support and guide synthesis.
- Identify places where previous machine learning methods can aid design of materials i.e. force field design or materials classification.







Questions?

